

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/739,950	12/18/2000	Eugene E. Rhodes	199-1883	4815
75	7590 09/02/2004		EXAMINER	
Daniel H. Bliss			PATEL, NIHIR B	
Bliss McGlynn, P.C. Suite 600			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2075 West Big			3743	
Troy, MI 480	84		DATE MAILED, 00/02/200	

DATE MAILED: 09/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			101
·	Application No.	Applicant(s)	NU
	09/739,950	RHODES ET AL.	V $\smile$
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Nihir Patel	3743	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet v	with the correspondence add	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a ply within the statutory minimum of th d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC te, cause the application to become A	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely DNTHS from the mailing date of this co ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	mmunication.
Status			
<ul> <li>1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07.</u></li> <li>2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b>. 2b) Th</li> <li>3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under</li> </ul>	is action is non-final. ance except for formal ma		merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and			
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and accomplished and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.	ccepted or b) objected to e drawing(s) be held in abeya action is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CF	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in iority documents have bee au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National	Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		v Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTC	)-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/739,950

Art Unit: 3743

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on July 1<sup>st</sup>, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant argues that neither Hoshino nor Asano teach or suggest a turbulator with a plurality of louvers spaced along a base of a strip and extending in a direction generally parallel to a longitudinal axis of the strip to direct fluid through the turbulator in the direction generally parallel to the longitudinal axis. The examiner disagrees. Asano does disclose a turbulator with plurality of louvers spaced along a base of a strip and extending in a direction generally parallel to a longitudinal axis of the strip (see figure 10).

It has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. *Ex parte Masham*, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987).

The intended use statements are not given any patentable weight in this instance for example "to direct fluid through the turbulators generally in the direction parallel to the longitudinal axis".

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/739,950

Art Unit: 3743

Claims 1 through 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hoshino et al. Patent No. 61-295494 in view of Asano et al. US Patent No. 5,078,207.

Hoshino discloses the applicant's invention as claimed with the exception of providing a connecting member having a bend.

Asano discloses a heat exchanger and fin for the same that does provide a connecting member having a bend (see figure 3). Therefore it would be obvious to modify Hoshino's invention by providing a connecting member having a bend in order to reduce the stresses on the turbulator.

Referring to claims 1 through 5, it has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. *Ex parte Masham*, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987).

The intended use statements are not given any patentable weight in this instance for example "fluid flowing through the turbulator flows through the louvers in the direction generally parallel to the longitudinal axis".

### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Art Unit: 3743

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Nihir Patel whose telephone number is (703) 306-3463. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:30 am to 4:30 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful the examiner supervisor Henry Bennett can be reached at (703) 308-0101.

NP

August 24th, 2004

Henry Bernst